

**LOMBARD JR HIGH SCHOOL  
GALESBURG C U SCHOOL DIST 205  
GALESBURG, ILLINOIS**



**ILLINOIS  
SCHOOL  
REPORT  
CARD**

**GRADES : 6 7 8**

State and federal laws require public school districts to release report cards to the public each year.

**STUDENTS**

**RACIAL/ETHNIC BACKGROUND AND OTHER INFORMATION**

	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi racial /Ethnic	Low- Income Rate	Limited- English- Proficient Rate	High Sch. Dropout Rate	Chronic Truancy Rate	Mobility Rate	Attendance Rate	Total Enrollment
<b>School</b>	68.6	18.1	5.3	0.4	0.0	7.5	69.7	0.0		1.0	23.2	93.2	491
<b>District</b>	73.1	15.6	5.3	0.6	0.1	5.1	52.8	0.3		3.8	25.4	93.5	4,832
<b>State</b>	56.7	20.3	18.3	3.7	0.2	0.7	40.0	6.6		2.2	16.1	93.9	2,062,912

**Low-income** students come from families receiving public aid; live in institutions for neglected or delinquent children; are supported in foster homes with public funds; or are eligible to receive free or reduced-price lunches.

**Limited-English-proficient** students are those students eligible for transitional bilingual programs.

**Mobility rate** is based on the number of times students enroll in or leave a school during the school year.

**Chronic truants** are students who are absent from school without valid cause for 18 or more of the last 180 school days.

**INSTRUCTIONAL SETTING**

**PARENTAL CONTACT\***

	Percent
<b>School</b>	98.0
<b>District</b>	92.8
<b>State</b>	95.7

**AVERAGE CLASS SIZE (as of the first school day in May)**

Grade	Grade	Grade	Grade	Grade	High
K	1	3	6	8	School
			21.4	19.8	
			20.8	20.2	
			23.4	22.9	

**STUDENT-TO-STAFF RATIOS**

Pupil- Teacher Elementary	Pupil- Teacher Secondary	Pupil- Certified Staff	Pupil- Administrator
--	--	--	--
16.8	18.0	15.3	225.7
18.9	18.4	13.8	209.5

\* Parental contact includes parent-teacher conferences, parental visits to school, school visits to home, telephone conversations, and written correspondence.

**TIME DEVOTED TO TEACHING CORE SUBJECTS (Minutes Per Day)**

Grades	Mathematics			Science			English/Language Arts			Social Science		
	3	6	8	3	6	8	3	6	8	3	6	8
<b>School</b>		44	54		44	54		88	74		44	54
<b>District</b>		44	49		44	49		88	59		44	49
<b>State</b>		52	50		43	44		104	93		43	44

**TEACHER INFORMATION (Full-Time Equivalents)**

	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American	Male	Female	Total Number
<b>District</b>	95.1	3.5	1.0	0.3	0.0	25.0	75.0	288
<b>State</b>	84.3	9.9	4.5	1.2	0.2	23.5	76.5	128,079


**TEACHER INFORMATION (Continued)**

	Average Teaching Experience (Years)	% of Teachers with Bachelor's Degrees	% of Teachers with Master's & Above	% of Teachers with Emergency or Provisional Credentials	% of Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
School	--	--	--	0.0	0.0
District	14.8	55.4	44.6	0.7	0.0
State	13.6	50.1	49.1	1.9	1.9

Some teacher/administrator data are not collected at the school level.


**SCHOOL DISTRICT FINANCES**

**TEACHER/ADMINISTRATOR SALARIES (Full-Time Equivalents)**



Salaries and counts of staff are summed across a district based on the percentage of time that each individual is employed as a teacher or an administrator and may or may not reflect the actual paid salaries for the district.

**EXPENDITURE BY FUNCTION 2003-04 (Percentages)**



REVENUE BY SOURCE 2003-04				EXPENDITURE BY FUND 2003-04			
	District	District %	State %		District	District %	State %
Local Property Taxes	\$9,477,092	32.4	57.0	Education	\$30,825,678	85.9	71.5
Other Local Funding	\$1,750,906	6.0	5.0	Operations & Maintenance	\$2,136,977	6.0	8.4
General State Aid	\$12,258,289	41.9	18.0	Transportation	\$1,392,243	3.9	3.6
Other State Funding	\$2,803,495	9.6	11.9	Bond and Interest	\$589,790	1.6	6.5
Federal Funding	\$2,979,615	10.2	8.0	Rent	\$0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL	\$29,269,397			Municipal Retirement/ Social Security	\$711,034	2.0	1.6
				Fire Prevention & Safety	\$185,090	0.5	0.9
				Site & Construction/ Capital Improvement	\$40,430	0.1	7.5
				TOTAL	\$35,881,242		


OTHER FINANCIAL INDICATORS				
	2002 Equalized Assessed Valuation per Pupil	2002 Total School Tax Rate per \$100	2003-04 Instructional Expenditure per Pupil	2003-04 Operating Expenditure per Pupil
District	\$80,503	3.93	\$4,312	\$6,506
State	**	**	\$5,216	\$8,786

\*\* Due to the way Illinois school districts are configured, state averages for equalized assessed valuation per pupil and total school tax rate per \$100 are not provided.  
**Equalized assessed valuation** includes all computed property values upon which a district's local tax rate is calculated.  
**Total school tax rate** is a district's total tax rate as it appears on local property tax bills.  
**Instructional expenditure per pupil** includes the direct costs of teaching pupils or the interaction between teachers and pupils.  
**Operating expenditure per pupil** includes the gross operating cost of a school district excluding summer school, adult education, bond principal retired, and capital expenditures.


## ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE

### OVERALL STUDENT PERFORMANCE

These charts present the overall percentages of state test scores categorized as meeting or exceeding the Illinois Learning Standards for your school, district, and the state. These performance measures include only those test scores for the grades and subjects (reading, mathematics and science) included in your school. The results for 2003-04 were recalculated to reflect only reading, mathematics and science.




OVERALL ILLINOIS STANDARDS ACHIEVEMENT TEST (ISAT) PERFORMANCE




**ISAT PERFORMANCE**

These charts provide information on attainment of the Illinois Learning Standards. They show the percents of student scores meeting or exceeding Standards for the grades and subjects tested on ISAT.

**ISAT Grade 7**



**ISAT Grade 8**



## PERFORMANCE ON STATE ASSESSMENTS

Federal law requires that student achievement results for reading, mathematics and science for schools providing Title I services be reported to the general public.

The Illinois Standards Achievement Test (ISAT) is administered to students in grades 3, 4, 5, 7, and 8. The Prairie State Achievement Examination (PSAE) is administered to students in grade 11. The Illinois Measure of Annual Growth in English (IMAGE) is administered to students in state-approved transitional bilingual programs. The Illinois Alternate Assessment (IAA) is administered to students with disabilities whose Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) indicate that participation in the ISAT or PSAE would not be appropriate.

Students with disabilities have an IEP (No Child Left Behind Act). An IEP is a written plan for a child with a disability who is eligible to receive special education services under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.

Schools with grade 2 as the highest grade in the school use a state-adopted test in reading and mathematics to meet this requirement.

In order to protect students' identities, test data for groups of fewer than ten students are not reported.

PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS NOT TESTED IN STATE TESTING PROGRAMS														
		Gender			Racial/Ethnic Background						LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Economically Disadvantaged
		All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi racial /Ethnic				
School	*Enrollment	158	89	69	112	27	6	2	1	10	0	0	24	108
	Reading	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				0.00			0.0	0.0
	Mathematics	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				0.00			0.0	0.0
District	*Enrollment	1,322	711	611	994	184	62	10	3	69	4	0	193	636
	Reading	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.0		0.0			0.0	0.0
	Mathematics	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.0		0.0			0.0	0.0
State	*Enrollment	621,620	316,666	304,954	357,742	125,377	109,378	23,147	998	4,978	43,196	194	89,769	248,030
	Reading	0.6	0.7	0.5	0.3	1.4	0.7	0.4	0.9	0.6	0.7	0.0	1.2	0.8
	Mathematics	0.6	0.7	0.5	0.3	1.4	0.6	0.4	0.9	0.6	0.6	1.0	1.2	0.9

\* Enrollment as reported by schools/districts during the testing window.

### ILLINOIS STANDARDS ACHIEVEMENT TEST (ISAT)

The following tables show the percentages of student scores in each of four performance levels. These levels were established with the help of Illinois educators who teach the grade levels and learning areas tested. Due to rounding, the sum of the percentages in the four performance levels may not always equal 100.

Level 1 -- Academic Warning - Student work demonstrates limited knowledge and skills in the subject. Because of major gaps in learning, students apply knowledge and skills ineffectively.

Level 2 -- Below Standards - Student work demonstrates basic knowledge and skills in the subject. However, because of gaps in learning, students apply knowledge and skills in limited ways.

Level 3 -- Meets Standards - Student work demonstrates proficient knowledge and skills in the subject. Students effectively apply knowledge and skills to solve problems.

Level 4 -- Exceeds Standards - Student work demonstrates advanced knowledge and skills in the subject. Students creatively apply knowledge and skills to solve problems and evaluate the results.

**Grade 7****Grade 7 - All**

Levels		Science			
		1	2	3	4
School		9.9	15.1	65.8	9.2
District		7.2	16.3	63.6	12.9
State		10.4	15.0	54.3	20.3

**Grade 7 - Gender**

Levels		Science			
		1	2	3	4
Male	School	15.8	11.8	61.8	10.5
	District	9.9	13.5	58.5	18.1
	State	11.1	14.0	51.9	23.0
Female	School	3.9	18.4	69.7	7.9
	District	4.5	19.1	68.5	7.9
	State	9.7	16.1	56.8	17.4

**Grade 7 - Racial/Ethnic Background**

Levels		Science			
		1	2	3	4
White	School	8.5	8.5	75.5	7.4
	District	5.7	11.1	69.3	13.9
	State	4.4	8.9	57.7	29.0
Black	School	20.6	26.5	41.2	11.8
	District	16.9	32.3	41.5	9.2
	State	23.7	27.1	45.5	3.7
Hispanic	School				
	District	0.0	29.4	70.6	0.0
	State	16.3	23.1	53.4	7.2
Asian/Pacific Islander	School				
	District				
	State	3.0	6.7	54.7	35.5
Native American	School				
	District				
	State	10.1	15.9	51.9	22.1
Multiracial/Ethnic	School	0.0	26.7	53.3	20.0
	District	0.0	17.4	60.9	21.7
	State	7.4	12.6	61.3	18.8

**Grade 7 - Students with Disabilities**

Levels		Science			
		1	2	3	4
IEP	School	30.4	26.1	39.1	4.3
	District	25.5	40.4	31.9	2.1
	State	31.1	24.0	39.9	5.0
Non-IEP	School	6.2	13.2	70.5	10.1
	District	4.3	12.6	68.5	14.6
	State	6.9	13.5	56.7	22.9

**Grade 7 - Economically Disadvantaged**

Levels		Science			
		1	2	3	4
Free/Reduced Price Lunch					
School		12.0	19.4	61.1	7.4
District		9.3	21.5	61.5	7.8
State		19.0	23.9	50.6	6.5
Not Eligible					
School		4.5	4.5	77.3	13.6
District		4.2	9.0	66.7	20.1
State		4.7	9.1	56.8	29.4

**Grade 8****Grade 8 - All**

Levels		Reading				Mathematics			
		1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
School		0.6	31.4	60.3	7.7	9.0	46.8	38.5	5.8
District		0.3	28.1	60.8	10.8	7.7	42.9	37.8	11.6
State		0.7	26.6	61.3	11.5	5.9	39.7	37.4	16.9

**Grade 8 - Gender**

Levels		Reading				Mathematics			
		1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Male									
School		0.0	35.2	56.8	8.0	12.6	42.5	39.1	5.7
District		0.0	28.7	62.1	9.2	10.8	38.7	37.6	12.9
State		1.0	28.4	60.5	10.1	7.3	38.9	36.0	17.8
Female									
School		1.5	26.5	64.7	7.4	4.3	52.2	37.7	5.8
District		0.6	27.4	59.2	12.7	3.8	48.1	38.0	10.1
State		0.3	24.7	62.1	12.8	4.6	40.6	38.8	16.0

**Grade 8 - Racial/Ethnic Background**

Levels		Reading				Mathematics			
		1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
White									
School		0.0	31.8	59.1	9.1	10.9	42.7	40.0	6.4
District		0.0	26.8	60.3	12.9	8.5	38.6	39.7	13.2
State		0.4	17.5	66.4	15.7	3.1	29.6	44.1	23.2
Black									
School		0.0	40.7	59.3	0.0	3.7	66.7	29.6	0.0
District		0.0	42.2	55.6	2.2	6.7	64.4	26.7	2.2
State		1.6	44.8	50.3	3.4	14.2	61.0	21.6	3.2
Hispanic									
School									
District		0.0	20.0	73.3	6.7	0.0	46.7	46.7	6.7
State		0.7	39.6	55.7	4.0	6.6	54.1	32.7	6.6
Asian/Pacific Islander									
School									
District									
State		0.1	13.0	64.6	22.2	1.1	16.7	40.7	41.6
Native American									
School									
District									
State		1.3	25.0	65.9	7.8	6.8	42.4	33.9	16.9
Multiracial/Ethnic									
School		0.0	20.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0
District		0.0	26.7	73.3	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	0.0
State		0.7	25.9	61.3	12.1	7.3	39.8	38.0	14.8

**Grade 8 - Students with Disabilities**

Levels		Reading				Mathematics			
		1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
IEP	School	4.5	86.4	9.1	0.0	39.1	56.5	4.3	0.0
	District	1.8	72.7	23.6	1.8	30.4	62.5	7.1	0.0
	State	4.0	65.1	29.5	1.4	27.3	57.6	12.9	2.2
Non-IEP	School	0.0	22.4	68.7	9.0	3.8	45.1	44.4	6.8
	District	0.0	19.9	67.7	12.5	3.4	39.2	43.6	13.9
	State	0.1	19.9	66.8	13.2	2.2	36.6	41.7	19.5

**Grade 8 - Economically Disadvantaged**

Levels		Reading				Mathematics			
		1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Free/Reduced Price Lunch	School	0.9	41.5	55.7	1.9	12.3	53.8	31.1	2.8
	District	0.6	42.1	52.8	4.5	13.5	52.8	28.7	5.1
	State	1.2	42.0	53.3	3.5	10.7	57.0	27.2	5.1
Not Eligible	School	0.0	10.0	70.0	20.0	2.0	32.0	54.0	12.0
	District	0.0	13.8	69.0	17.2	1.7	32.8	47.1	18.4
	State	0.3	16.8	66.3	16.5	2.9	28.7	43.9	24.5

## 2005 ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS (AYP) Status Report

Is this School making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)?	No
Is this School making AYP in Reading?	Yes
Is this School making AYP in Mathematics?	No

Has this school been identified for School Improvement according to the AYP specifications of the federal No Child Left Behind Act?		No
2005-06 Federal Improvement Status		
2005-06 State Improvement Status		

	Percent Tested on State Tests				Percent Meeting/Exceeding Standards *						Other Indicators			
	Reading		Mathematics		Reading			Mathematics			Attendance Rate		Graduation Rate	
	%	Met AYP	%	Met AYP	%	Safe Harbor Target **	Met AYP	%	Safe Harbor Target **	Met AYP	%	Met AYP	%	Met AYP
<b>State AYP Minimum Target</b>	95.0		95.0		47.5			47.5			89.0		67.0	
<b>All</b>	100.0	Yes	100.0	Yes	68.4		Yes	44.7		No	93.2	Yes		
<b>White</b>	100.0	Yes	100.0	Yes	68.8		Yes	46.8		Yes				
<b>Black</b>														
<b>Hispanic</b>														
<b>Asian/Pacific Islander</b>														
<b>Native American</b>														
<b>Multiracial /Ethnic</b>														
<b>LEP</b>														
<b>Students with Disabilities</b>														
<b>Economically Disadvantaged</b>	100.0	Yes	100.0	Yes	57.8		Yes	34.3	45.8	No	93.8			

**Four Conditions Are Required For Making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)**

1. At least 95.0% tested for Reading and Mathematics for the All Group and Subgroups. If the current year's participation rates are less than 95%, the participation rate for AYP will be considered sufficient if the average of the current year and the preceding year is at least 95%, or if the average of the current year and the two preceding years is at least 95%. Only actual participation rates are printed. If the participation rate printed is less than 95% but 'Met AYP' is 'Yes', it means the 95% condition was met by averaging.
2. At least 47.5% Meeting/Exceeding Standards for reading and mathematics for the All and each subgroup; for subgroups under the 47.5% Meeting/Exceeding requirement, a 95% confidence interval has been applied; or meet Safe Harbor requirements.\*\*\*
3. For those schools not making AYP because of the IEP subgroup only, 14% was added to the percent Meeting/Exceeding Standards for this subgroup to calculate AYP as provided by the new federal 2% flexibility.
4. At least 89.0% Attendance Rate for non-high schools or at least 67.0% Graduation Rate for high schools

\* Includes only students enrolled as of 9/30/2004.

\*\* Safe Harbor Targets of 47.5% or above are not printed.

\*\*\* Subgroups with fewer than 45 students are not reported. Safe harbor only applies to subgroups of 45 or more. In order for safe harbor to apply, a subgroup must decrease by 10% the percentage of scores that did not meet state standards from the previous year plus meet the other indicators (attendance rate for non-high schools and graduation rate for high schools) for the subgroup. Safe harbor allows schools an alternate method to meet subgroup minimum targets on achievement.

## PLANNED IMPROVEMENT FOR THE SCHOOL AND DISTRICT

*Lombard Middle School is committed to provide a safe environment in which teachers, staff, parents, and community help all students reach their highest level of academic achievement and social responsibility to become productive members of society and life long learners.*

The following school improvement goals have been established for the 2005-2006 school year:

**GOAL ONE:** *Improve student achievement in reading to over 50% of the students meeting or exceeding standards as measured by the reading portion of the 2006 ISAT.*

- Lombard was successful on the 2005 Reading ISAT, with 68% of 8<sup>th</sup> grade students meeting or exceeding standards. We want to continue this success as the ISAT is expanded to all three grades in 2006.
- We will continue to examine the Illinois Learning Standards for Reading and the practice reading tests to ensure that all staff members are aware of what the reading standards are and what is expected of the students on the Reading ISAT.
- We will continue to seek best practices and provide staff development on those best practices in reading strategies throughout the middle school curriculum.
- We will continue to utilize the knowledge gained from participation in the Standards Aligned Curriculum (SAC) Project, and apply the knowledge gained to all curricular areas.

**GOAL TWO:** *Improve student achievement in mathematics to over 50% of the students meeting or exceeding the standards as measured by the math portion of the 2006 ISAT.*

- Re-vamp the 6<sup>th</sup> grade local math assessment. Use the results to gauge comprehension of important math concepts and guide instruction.
- Examine the cohort data provided by the curriculum office to track strengths & weaknesses among student groups and within specific sub-areas of math instruction.
- Work with a math consultant to continue alignment of new textbooks with Illinois Learning Standards. Continue curricular work by examining teaching practices to take the content into the classroom.
- All math teachers will form a Level I team in the Standards Aligned Classroom project in 05-06. SAC was very effective in raising reading scores after Language Arts teachers participated in this project. We hope to have the same results from the math department.

**GOAL THREE:** *Improve student achievement in writing.*

- Even though writing is not a part of the 2006 ISAT, there is still a commitment to writing instruction and the local writing assessment.
- Administer the local writing assessment twice during the 2005-06 school year, and evaluate the students' writing samples using an ISAT scoring rubric.
- Utilize data from the local assessment to make instructional decisions to improve student achievement.

**GOAL FOUR:** *Increase the amount of instructional time students receive by decreasing the number of Office Discipline Referrals to ten (10) per day and decrease the number of In School Suspensions and Out of School Suspensions by half by the end of the 2005-06 school year.*

- The PBIS Committee will continue to meet on a monthly basis to review SWIS data and make recommendations to faculty and administration.
- The Intervention Team will continue to meet on a weekly basis to design interventions for students with a high number of Office Discipline Referrals.
- The faculty will teach, model, reinforce, monitor, and pre-correct specific behaviors that students need to demonstrate.
- Administrators and staff members will take advantage of professional development provided by the state-wide PBIS Network.